Application Brief

DMA NO. 7 FEB.1990



HITACHI

Hitachi High-Tech Science Corporation

RBM Tsukiji Bldg., 15-5, Shintomi 2-chome, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104-0041 TEL:+81-3-6280-0068 FAX:+81-3-6280-0075 http://www.hitachi-hitec-science.com

Activation Energy Analysis by Dynamic Viscoelastic Measurements

Nobuaki Okubo Application Engineering Section

1. Introduction

Dynamic viscoelastic measurements provide a method of determining the apparent activation energy of various relaxation phenomena of polymers. The technique of determining the apparent activation energy from the results of dynamic viscoelastic measurements has been investigated by many researchers for many years. Several such techniques have been reported previously¹). By evaluating the apparent activation energy from dynamic viscoelastic measurements for polymers, it is possible to determine the molecular origin of the observed relaxations, such as the glass transition, local relaxation, or side chain relaxation.

The method of determining the apparent activation energy from a shift factor has been reported Application Brief².

2. Principles

Muller and Huff³⁾ showed that a linear relationship is obtained by plotting log f versus 1/T, based on the measuring frequency f and the peak temperature T of either loss modulus or loss tangent. The hypothesis that log f versus 1/T will plot as a straight line means that the function represented by the slope of the line is an Arrhenius quantity, and that in this temperature range, the activation energy ΔE can be calculated from this method by the following equation:

 $E = R \frac{d \ln f}{d(1/T)} = 2.303R \frac{d \log f}{d(1/T)} \cdots (1)$ $\Delta E : \text{Activation energy}$ R : The gas constantf : frequencyT : Absolute temperature

Consequently, an apparent activation energy, ΔE (kJ/mol), can be calculated by using equation (1) from the measuring frequency f (Hz) and the peak-top temperature T (K) of the tan (loss tangent) based on the results of simultaneous measurements of temperature and frequency dispersion.

Table1 shows the activation energy of each kind of relaxation phenomena⁴⁾. By evaluating the activation energy from the result of dynamic viscoelastic measurement of polymer, you can find which relaxation phenomenon (primary dispersion (glass transition), local mode relaxation, side chain relaxation, etc.) cause each dispersion.

- 1 -

Table1 Relaxation phenomena and activation energy		
Relaxation phenomenon	Temperature range	ΔE (kJ/mol)
Crystal Relaxation	(0.8 ~ 0.9) <i>T</i> m	170 ~ 340
Grain Boundary Relaxation	around Tc	85 ~ 170
Primary dispersion	around Tg	170 ~ 840
Local mode relaxation	less than Tg	40 ~ 85
Side chain relaxation	less than Tg	40 ~ 125
Stereo isomer relaxation	less than Tg	40 ~ 80
Methyl group relaxation	$T \ll Tg$	~ 20

4) Tablal Dalar nhanamana and aati

3. **Example of Analysis**

Figure 1 shows the E', E'' and tan δ curves of poly(vinyl chloride) reflecting the results of simultaneous measurements of temperature and frequency dispersion. At around 75 , there is α -dispersion due to the glass transition; and the β -dispersion due to local mode relaxation, is observed at approximately -70 . Figure 2 is the result of plotting f versus 1/T for these two dispersion peaks. Also, the calculation of the apparent activation energy using equation (1) yields a value for the α -dispersion of 590.1 kJ/mol, and for the β -dispersion of 51.1 kJ/mol.



E', E'' and tan δ curves of poly(vinyl chloride) Figure 1



Figure 2 $\log f$ vs. 1/T plots of α - and β -dispersion for poly(vinyl chloride)

Figure 3 shows the tan δ curves of poly(methylmethacrylate) in the primary dispersion (glass transition) region using 13 different frequencies ranging from 0.01 to 100Hz. Figure 4 shows a log f versus 1/T plot of the dispersion peak of poly(methylmethacrylate) shows in Figure 3. From this plot, the apparent activation energy is 399.5 kJ/mol.



Figure 3 tand curves of poly(methylmethacrylate) in α -dispersion region



Figure 4 $\log f$ vs. 1/T plots of α -dispersion for poly(methylmethacrylate)

This Arrhenius plot yields a bow-shaped curve, as shown in Figure 4. This result indicates that the glass transition can not be explained as an Arrhenius type phenomenon. This method of determination is based on the assumption that even if the temperature dependency of relaxation follows WLF behavior, in a narrow frequency range the phenomenon is an Arrhenius type. For this reason, the activation energy obtained by this method is called the "apparent" activation energy.

References

- 1) J.D Ferry, "Viscoelastic Properties of Polymers", Wiley, New York (1970)
- 2) Nobuaki Okubo, Application Brief DMS No.6, Hitachi High-Tech Science Corporation (1990)
- 3) F.H.Muller, K.Huff, Kolleid z., 166, 44 (1959)
- 4) The Society of Rheology, Japan, "Rheology course", Koubunshikankoukai (1992)